IR 02 200 E

RCE/160,

S

In re Application of:

Art Unit: 1647

GRONHOJ-LARŚEN, et al.

Examiner: HAMUD, F.

Serial No.: 09/101,825

Washington, D.C.

Filed: July 17, 1998

April 2, 2002

For: SYNTHETIC IL-10 ANALOGUES)

Docket No.:GRONHOJ-LARSEN=2

XAMINATION (RCE)

CHCHCENTER 600200

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application.

- 1. Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 (\underline{must} check "a" or "b")
 - a. [X] Previously submitted
 - i. [X] Consider the amendment and supplemental amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 previously filed on March 4, 2002.

(Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered)

- ii. [] Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply
 Brief previously filed on _____
- iii. [[] DO NOT consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. \$1.116 previously filed on _____.
- b. [] Enclosed
 - i. [] Amendment/Reply
 - ii. [] Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)
 - iii. [] Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)
 - iv. [] Other

2. Miscellaneous

a.[] Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) required).

04/03/2002 CHGUYEN 00000048 09101825 b.[] Other

01 FC:179 02 FC:117

740.00 OP 520.00 OP USSN - 09/101,825

3. Fe	e	S
-------	---	---

- a. small entity status
 - [] small entity status was previously asserted on _____.
 - [] small entity status is now asserted.
- b. Fee Calculation
 - i.[X] RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e): \$
 - [] 370.00 (small entity)

[X] 740.00 (large entity)

(The RCE fee is set at an amount equal to the basic filing fee of a utility application; small entity reduction is available.)

omarr billicy		C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.17) Non-Small Entity
Response filed wi	thin:	Response filed within:
first -\$	55 00	THE POLICE TITED WICHIN:
	55.00	first - \$ 110.00
second -\$ 2	100.00	second - \$ 400.00
third -\$ 4	60 00	
founth	00.00	XX third - \$ 920.00
fourth -\$ 7	20.00	fourth - \$1,440.00
fifth -\$ 9	80 00	
		fifth - \$1,960.00
month after time pe	riod set. mon	th after time period set.

(less \$400.00 already paid for two months extension of time on March 4, 2002).

iii. Other
(RCE fee need not include excess claims fee for claims
previously paid for)

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	Small	Entity	Other Than	a Small Entity
	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Additional Fee	Rate	Additional Fee
Total	51	Minus	55	0	x 9	\$	×18	s
Indep.	2	Minus	4	0	×42	s	×84	
First Pr	First Presentation of Multiple Dependent Claim 140				\$	+280	s	
TOTA	TOTAL ADDITIONAL CLAIMS FEE				\$	Total	\$	

c. The total fee due is therefore \$1,260.00.

USSN - 09/101,825

d. PAYMENT BY

- i. [] Check (#) in the amount of :
- ii. [X] Credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed) authorized in the amount of \$1,260.00
- iii. [] Charge \$ _____ to Deposit Account No. 02-4035
- e. [X] Provisional extension of time if needed. Applicants authorize any charge of additional fees (except issue fee) which may be required in connection with this application, for this paper or any later filed paper, to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.
- f. [X] The director is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment of fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. $\underline{02-4035}$.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Iver P. Cooper

Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone: (202) 628-5197

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528

IPC:lms

F:\,P\Plou\GronhojLarsen2\ptorce.wpd

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE pplication/Patent of

Art Unit: 1647 RECEIV

Examiner: HAMUD, F.

OCT 03 2002

Serial No: 09/101,825 Washington, D.C.

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

September 27, 2002

For: SYNTHETIC IL-10 ANALOGUES)

Docket No: GRONHOJ-LARSEN=2

Confirmation No.: 1107

RESPONSE UNDER RULE 1.115

Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

GRONHOJ-LASRSEN, et al.

Filed: July 17, 1998

Sir:

In response to the office action mailed July 2, 2002, please enter the following remarks.

REMARKS

- 1. The sole rejection in this case is an "obviousness-type" double patenting rejection against claims 18-22, 24-41, 49-53, 61, 63, 65-79 and 80-82 over claims 1-39 of USP 6,159,937. Examiner concedes that this rejection can be overcome by a timely filed terminal disclaimer. A suitable TD is enclosed herewith.
- 2. Claim 47 was objected to as dependent on a rejected base claim. Since the TD removes the rejection to the base claim, the objection is moot.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

Attorneys for Applicant

Iver P. Cooper Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile: (202) 737-3528

IPC:lms

G:\BN\P\Plou\GronhojLarsen2\respunderrule1.115.wpd